Chao Tang<sup>1</sup>

Received February 25, 1998; final April 22, 1998

The Hausdorff dimensions of the Julia sets for nonanalytic maps  $f(z) = z^2 + \varepsilon z^*$ and  $f(z) = z^{*2} + \varepsilon$  are calculated perturbatively for small  $\varepsilon$ . It is shown that Ruelle's formula for the Hausdorff dimensions of analytic maps cannot be generalized to nonanalytic maps.

KEY WORDS: Fractal dimension; Julia set; nonanalytic maps.

### **I. INTRODUCTION**

The Julia set J of a map is the closure of the unstable periodic points.<sup>(1-4)</sup> It is an invariant set of the map and is usually a "repeller," that is, points close to J will be repelled away by successive iterations of the map. A simple example is the map on the complex plane:  $f(z) = z^2$ , for which J is the unit circle. Points close to J will flow to one of the two stable fixed points: 0 and  $\infty$ . Thus J is the boundary or separator of basins of attraction. A much more complicated geometry appears for the Julia set of the map:

$$f(z) = z^2 + c \tag{1}$$

where c is a non-zero constant (see Fig. 1(a) for an example and ref. 4 for many other examples). In this case, J is a fractal and its topology undergoes drastic changes as c varies.

Before we proceed further, let us define a few notations. We denote  $f^n$  to be *n* successive iterations of the map. That is  $f^n(z) = f(f^{n-1}(z))$ . The set of all unstable cycles of length *n* is denoted by Fix  $f^n$ . Df is the derivative matrix of f. If f is an analytic map, i.e.,  $\partial u/\partial x = \partial v/\partial y$  and  $\partial v/\partial x = -\partial u/\partial y$  with f(z = x + iy) = u + iv, then det  $Df = |df/dz|^2$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> NEC Research Institute, Princeton, New Jersey 08540.

<sup>0022-4715/98/1100-1001\$15.00/0 (</sup>C) 1998 Plenum Publishing Corporation

For analytic maps, the Hausdorff dimension  $D_H$  of the Julia set J can be calculated with a formula due to a theorem of Ruelle:<sup>(5)</sup>

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} A_n(D_H) = 1$$
 (2)

where

$$A_n(D) = \sum_{z \in \operatorname{Fix} f^n} \left| \frac{df^n}{dz} \right|^{-D}$$
(3)

Using the formula, Ruelle<sup>(5)</sup> and Widom *et al.*<sup>(6)</sup> calculated  $D_H$  for the map (1) in powers of *c* for small |c|. It was not clear then whether the formulas (2) and (3) can be generalized to nonanalytic maps. The natural generalization of (3) to nonanalytic maps would be

$$A_n(D) = \sum_{z \in \operatorname{Fix} f^n} |\det Df^n|^{-D/2}$$
(4)

The calculations I present below show that the combination of (2) and (4) does not give the correct  $D_H$  for nonanalytic maps in general and  $D_H$  can be calculated directly with the perturbation theory developed in ref. 6.

## II. THE MAP $f(z) \approx z^2 + \epsilon z^*$

Let us first consider the nonanalytic map

$$f(z) = z^2 + \varepsilon z^* \tag{5}$$

where \* denotes the complex conjugate. When  $\varepsilon = 0$  the Julia set J is the unit circle and can be parametrized as  $z(t) = e^{2\pi i t}$ . The map on J is

$$f(z(t)) = z(2t) \tag{6}$$

When  $\varepsilon \neq 0$  but small enough so that J is topologically equivalent to a circle we can still parametrize J so that Eq. (6) is satisfied.<sup>(2, 3)</sup> If a map  $f_{\varepsilon}$  with a parameter  $\varepsilon$  satisfies

$$[f_{e}(z)]^{*} = f_{e^{*}}(z^{*})$$
<sup>(7)</sup>

then

$$z \in \operatorname{Fix} f_{\varepsilon}^{n} \Leftrightarrow z^{*} \in \operatorname{Fix} f_{\varepsilon^{*}}^{n} \tag{8}$$

which implies that

$$J(f_s) = [J(f_{s^*})]^*$$
(9)

where J(f) is the Julia set of f. In particular, if J can be parametrized as z(t) then

$$z_{e}(t) = z_{e^{*}}^{*}(-t).$$
(10)

It is easy to see that the map (5) satisfies Eq. (7).

Following Widom et al.,<sup>(6)</sup> we formally expand z(t) in powers of  $\varepsilon$ 

$$z(t) = e^{2\pi i t} [1 + \varepsilon U_1(t) + \varepsilon^* \tilde{U}_1(t) + \varepsilon^2 U_2(t) + \varepsilon^{*2} \tilde{U}_2(t) + \varepsilon \varepsilon^* \hat{U}_2(t) + \cdots ]$$
(11)

where the functions  $U_1(t)$ ,  $\tilde{U}_1(t)$ ,  $U_2(t)$ ,  $\tilde{U}_2(t)$ ,  $\hat{U}_2(t)$ ,... are all periodic with period 1. Equation (10) implies that all the functions U(t) satisfies  $U(t) = U^*(-t)$ . Substituting (11) into (6) and equating terms with the same power of  $\varepsilon$ , we get

$$U_1(2t) - 2U_1(t) = e^{-6\pi i t}$$
(12)

$$\tilde{U}_{1}(2t) - 2\tilde{U}_{1}(t) = 0$$
(13)

$$U_2(2t) - 2U_2(t) = U_1^2(t) + e^{-6\pi i t} \tilde{U}_1^*(t)$$
(14)

$$\tilde{U}_{2}(2t) - 2\tilde{U}_{2}(t) = \tilde{U}_{1}^{2}(t)$$
(15)

$$\hat{U}_{2}(2t) - 2\hat{U}_{2}(t) = 2U_{1}(t) \ \tilde{U}_{1}(t) + e^{-6\pi i t} U_{1}^{*}(t)$$
(16)

The solutions are

$$U_{1}(t) = -\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-3\pi i 2^{k} t}}{2^{k}}$$
(17)

$$\tilde{U}_1(t) = 0 \tag{18}$$

$$U_2(t) = -\sum_{j,k,l=1}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-3\pi i 2^j (2^{k-1} + 2^{l-1})t}}{2^{j+k+l}}$$
(19)

$$\tilde{U}_2(t) = 0 \tag{20}$$

$$\hat{U}_{2}(t) = \sum_{j,k=1}^{\infty} \frac{e^{3\pi i 2^{j} (2^{k-1}-1)t}}{2^{j+k}}$$
(21)

It is easy to see from Eq. (6) that unstable cycles of length n are

Fix 
$$f^n = \left\{ z(t_j) : t_j = \frac{j}{2^n - 1}, \ j = 0, 1, ..., 2^n - 2 \right\}$$
 (22)

We now evaluate  $A_n(D)$  as defined in (4). Note that

$$\det Df^n = \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \det \begin{pmatrix} 2x_i + \operatorname{Re}(\varepsilon) & -2y_i + \operatorname{Im}(\varepsilon) \\ 2y_i + \operatorname{Im}(\varepsilon) & 2x_i - \operatorname{Re}(\varepsilon) \end{pmatrix}$$
$$= \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} (4z_i^2 - |\varepsilon|^2)$$
$$= 4^n \left(1 - \frac{|\varepsilon|^2}{4}\right)^n \prod_{m=0}^{n-1} |z(2^m t_j)|^2$$

where the last equality holds to the second order in  $\varepsilon$ . Denote

$$\langle G(t) \rangle_n = \frac{1}{2^n - 1} \sum_{j=0}^{2^n - 2} G(t_j)$$
 (23)

where  $t_j$ 's are given by Eq. (22).

$$A_{n}(D) = \sum_{z \in \operatorname{Fix} f^{n}} |\det Df^{n}|^{-D/2}$$
  
= 2<sup>-Dn</sup>(2<sup>n</sup>-1)  $\left(1 - \frac{|\varepsilon|^{2}}{4}\right)^{-Dn/2} \left\langle \prod_{m=0}^{n-1} |z(2^{m}t_{j})|^{-D} \right\rangle_{n}$  (24)

Substituting Eqs. (17)-(21) into (11) and using the identity

$$\langle e^{2\pi i m t} \rangle_n = \begin{cases} 1, & m = 0 \mod 2^n - 1 \\ 0, & m \neq 0 \mod 2^n - 1 \end{cases}$$
 (25)

it can be shown, after some algebra, that

$$\left\langle \prod_{m=0}^{n-1} |z(2^{m}t_{j})|^{-D} \right\rangle_{n} = 1 + |\varepsilon|^{2} \left( \frac{D^{2}n}{4} - \frac{Dn}{2} - \frac{D^{2}}{2} - \frac{Dn}{2^{n+1}} + \frac{D^{2}n}{2^{n+3}} \right), \quad (n > 2) \quad (26)$$

Substituting (26) into (24) yields

$$A_n(D) = 2^{n(1-D)} \left[ 1 + |\varepsilon|^2 \left( \frac{D^2 n}{4} - \frac{3Dn}{8} \right) \right], \qquad (n \gg 1)$$
 (27)

If we were to use Eqs. (27) and (2) to obtain a Hausdorff dimension, we would get  $D_H = 1 - |\varepsilon|^2/(8 \ln 2)$ , a value smaller than 1 for small but nonzero  $\varepsilon$ . We show in the following that this value of  $D_H$  is incorrect. Let

$$\chi_n(D) = \sum_{j=0}^{2^n - 2} \frac{|z(t_{j+1}) - z(t_j)|^D}{(2\pi)^D}$$
(28)

where  $z(t_j) \in \text{Fix } f^n$  (Eq. (22)). The Hausdorff dimension  $D_H$  of the set Fix  $f^n$  in the limit  $n \to \infty$  is such that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \chi_n(D_H) = 1 \tag{29}$$

This  $D_H$  should also be the  $D_H$  of the Julia set J. We now evaluate  $\chi_n(D)$ to the second order in e. Putting Eqs. (17)-(21) into Eq. (11), we write

$$z(t_{j+1}) - z(t_j) = C_0 + C_1 |\varepsilon| + C_2 |\varepsilon|^2$$
(30)

Then to the second order in  $\varepsilon$ ,

$$\chi_{n}(D) = \frac{|C_{0}|^{D}}{(2\pi)^{D}} (2^{n} - 1) \left\{ 1 + \frac{D|\varepsilon|}{|C_{0}|^{2}} \langle \operatorname{Re}(C_{0}^{*}C_{1}) \rangle_{n} + \frac{D|\varepsilon|^{2}}{|C_{0}|^{2}} \left[ \frac{1}{2} \langle |C_{1}|^{2} \rangle_{n} + \langle \operatorname{Re}(C_{0}^{*}C_{2}) \rangle_{n} + \frac{D-2}{2|C_{0}|^{2}} \langle (\operatorname{Re}(C_{0}^{*}C_{1}))^{2} \rangle_{n} \right] \right\}$$
(31)

where Eq. (23) is used. With the help of the identity (25) we get

$$|C_0|^2 = 2\left(1 - \cos\frac{2\pi}{2^n - 1}\right) \tag{32}$$

$$\langle \operatorname{Re}(C_0^*C_1) \rangle_n = 0, \quad (n > 2)$$
 (33)

$$\langle |C_1|^2 \rangle_n = F(n) \tag{34}$$

$$\langle \operatorname{Re}(C_0^* C_2) \rangle_n = \frac{|C_0|^2}{2} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{2^n} \right)$$
 (35)

$$\langle (\operatorname{Re}(C_0^*C_1))^2 \rangle_n = \frac{1}{2} |C_0|^2 \langle |C_1|^2 \rangle_n$$
 (36)

Tang

where

1006

$$F(n) = \frac{2}{3} - 2\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{4^k} \cos 2\pi \frac{3 \cdot 2^{k-1} - 1}{2^n - 1}$$
(37)

The function F(n) can easily be solved for large n in the following way. Note that for  $n \gg 1$ 

$$F(n+1) = \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 - \cos \frac{3 \cdot 2\pi}{2^{n+1}} \right) + \frac{1}{4} F(n) = \frac{9\pi^2}{4^{n+1}} + \frac{1}{4} F(n)$$
(38)

Substituting  $F(n) = H(n)/4^n$  into Eq. (38), we have

$$H(n+1) = 9\pi^2 + H(n)$$
(39)

which has the solution

$$H(n) = 9\pi^2 n + a \tag{40}$$

where a is a constant independent of n. From Eqs. (31), (32)-(36), and (40),

$$\chi_n(D) = 2^{n(1-D)} \left[ 1 + |\varepsilon|^2 \left( \frac{D}{2} + \frac{D}{4} \frac{\langle |C_1|^2 \rangle_n}{|C_0|^2} \right) \right]$$
$$= 2^{n(1-D)} \left( 1 + \frac{9}{16} n D^2 |\varepsilon|^2 \right), \quad (n \gg 1)$$
(41)

Equations (29) and (41) imply

$$D = 1 + \frac{9}{16 \ln 2} |\varepsilon|^2 \tag{42}$$

# III. THE MAP $f(z) = z^{*2} + \epsilon$

Next, we consider the nonanalytic map

$$f_{\varepsilon}(z) = z^{*2} + \varepsilon \tag{43}$$

The map (43) has the property of Eq. (7), so that  $J(f_{\varepsilon}) = [J(f_{\varepsilon^*})]^*$ . Let us parametrize J in such a way so that

$$f(z(t)) = z(-2t), \qquad z(t) \in J$$
 (44)

The set of unstable cycles of length n is

Fix 
$$f^n = \left\{ z(t_j) : t_j = \frac{j}{(-2)^n - 1}, \ j = 0, \ \pm 1, \ \pm 2, \dots \right\}$$
 (45)

The number of elements in Fix  $f^n$  is  $|(-2)^n - 1|$ . Following similar procedures as in the previous section, we have

$$U_1(t) = -\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-2\pi i 4^k t}}{4^k}$$
(46)

$$\tilde{U}_1(t) = -2\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-\pi i 4^k t}}{4^k}$$
(47)

$$U_2(t) = -6 \sum_{j,k,l=1}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-2\pi i 4j(4^{k-1}+4^{l-1})t}}{4^{j+k+l}}$$
(48)

$$\tilde{U}_{2}(t) = -12 \sum_{j,k,l=1}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-\pi i 4^{j} (4^{k-1} + 4^{l-1})t}}{4^{j+k+l}} + \sum_{k,l=1}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-\pi i (4^{k} + 4^{l})t}}{4^{k+l}}$$
(49)

$$\hat{U}_{2}(t) = -4 \sum_{j,k,l=1}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-\pi i 2^{j} (4^{k} + 4^{l}/2) t}}{2^{j+2k+2l}}$$
(50)

 $A_n(D)$  (Eq. (4)) and  $\chi_n(D)$  ((Eq. (28)) can be calculated to be

$$A_n(D) = \chi_n(D) = 2^{n(1-D)} (1 + \frac{1}{4}nD^2 |\varepsilon|^2)$$
(51)

In this case,  $A_n(D) = \chi_n(D)$  and it gives the correct Hausdorff dimension

$$D_H = 1 + \frac{|\varepsilon|^2}{4\ln 2} \tag{52}$$

The reason for Ruelle's formula to work in this case is that for the nonanalytic map (43)  $f^{2}(z)$  is analytic:

$$f^{2}(z) = (z^{2} + \varepsilon^{*})^{2} + \varepsilon$$
(53)

and that  $J(f^2) = J(f)$ . Note that (52) is the same as the  $D_H$  of the analytic map (1)  $f(z) = z^2 + \varepsilon$ ,<sup>(5, 6)</sup> to the second order in  $\varepsilon$ . Indeed,  $f^2(z)$  and thus J are identical for the two maps (1) and (43) for real  $\varepsilon$ . For complex  $\varepsilon$ , however, the two Julia sets look quite different (Fig. 1).



Fig. 1. The Julia set for (a)  $f(z) = z^2 + \varepsilon$  and (b)  $f(z) = z^{*2} + \varepsilon$ , for  $\varepsilon = 0.15 + i0.15$ .

### **IV. DISCUSSION**

Since Ruelle's formula (2) relies on the analyticity of the map, it is no surprise that it brakes down for nonanalytic maps. When J is a closed curve,  $D_H$  can be calculated from  $\chi_n(D)$  (Eq. (28)) for both analytic and nonanalytic maps. When J is no longer topologically a circle, it can be difficult to utilize a formula based on distances between unstable cycle elements. In this case, it remains a challenge to formulate an efficient method for the calculation of  $D_H$  for nonanalytic maps. Finally, the quantity  $A_n(D)$  (Eq. (4)) can be very useful even for nonanalytic maps. For example, it can be used to calculate the escape rate for points close to J.<sup>(7)</sup>

### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This problem was given to me by Leo when I was his graduate student. The calculation was done then, but somehow was never published. It seems now that this special issue of Journal of Statistical Physics dedicated to Leo is a perfect place for this small paper.

### REFERENCES

- 1. G. Julia, J. Math. Pures Appl. 8:47 (1918).
- 2. For a review see H. Brolin, Ark. Mat. 6:103 (1965).
- 3. P. Fatou, Bull. Soc. Math. France 47:161 (1919); 48:33 (1920).
- 4. R. Devaney, A First Course in Chaotic Dynamical Systems (Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA, 1992).
- 5. D. Ruelle, Ergod. Th. & Dynam. Sys. 2:99 (1982).
- 6. M. Widom, D. Bensimon, L. Kadanoff, and S. Shenker, J. Stat. Phys. 32:443 (1983).
- 7. L. Kadanoff and C. Tang, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 81:1276 (1984).

1008

Tang